

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,854	07/16/2004	Gerardus Petrus Karman	NL020032US	4665
24738	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	ECTRONICS NORTH A	CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C			2871	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AX

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/501,854	KARMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tarifur R. Chowdhury	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	tion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/16/04;07/26/05. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lu et al., (Lu), USPAT 5,570,216.
- 4. Lu discloses (col. 2, lines 42-53; col. 3, lines 29-31, 44-46, 54-55, 65-66; col. 5, lines 1-7) and shows in Fig. 1, a liquid crystal display comprising a pair of substrates (12 and 30) and a combination of a polymerized cholesteric layer switchable between a cholesterically ordered wavelength-selectively reflective state and a transmissive state and a homeotropic alignment layer (not shown) which is in direct contact with the cholesteric layer wherein the cholesteric layer is sandwiched between the homeotropic alignment layer and a planar alignment layer.

Accordingly, claims 1-5 are anticipated.

- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takei, USPAT 5,726,729.
- 6. Takei discloses (abstract; claim 1) and shows in Fig. 1, a liquid crystal display device comprising a pair of substrates (11 and 12) and a combination of a cholesteric layer switchable between a cholesterically ordered wavelength-selectively reflective state and a transmissive state and a homeotropic alignment layer (16) which is in direct

Art Unit: 2871

contact with the cholesteric layer wherein the cholesteric layer is sandwiched between the homeotropic alignment layer and a planar alignment layer (17).

- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mash et al., (Mash), USPAT 4,114,990.
- 8. Mash discloses (abstract; col. 1, line 66- col. 2, line 1; col. 2, lines 18-30; claim 1), a liquid crystal display device comprising a pair of substrates (11 and 12) and a combination of a cholesteric layer switchable between a cholesterically ordered wavelength-selectively reflective state and a transmissive state and a homeotropic alignment layer (not shown) which is in direct contact with the cholesteric layer wherein the cholesteric layer is sandwiched between the homeotropic alignment layer and a planar alignment layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2871

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takei or Mash in view of Lu.
- 12. Takei or Mash differs from the claimed invention because they do not explicitly disclose that the cholesteric layer is polymerized.

Lu discloses a liquid crystal display device having a polymerized cholesteric layer. He further discloses that using a polymerized cholesteric layer in a liquid crystal display device is advantageous since it enhances image contrast by providing an excellent transparent focal conic state (col. 1, line 66 – col. 2, line 14).

Lu is evidence that ordinary workers in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to use a polymerized cholesteric layer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of Takei or Mash by using a polymerized cholesteric layer for advantages such as to obtain a display that provides excellent transparent focal conic state and thus a high contrast, as per the teachings of Lu.

Accordingly, claim 5 would have been obvious.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-2287. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRC November 14, 2005 TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER